

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

34

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. Dex 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/467,168	12/20/1999	JAMES MARSHALL OATHOUT	SS2945 2005	
23906	7590 01/21/2004		EXAMINER	
	NT DE NEMOURS AI FENT RECORDS CENT	BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH		
	ILL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· -	ASTER PIKE ON, DE 19805		1771	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

r							
Office Action Summany		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/467,168	OATHOUT, JAMES MA	DATHOUT, JAMES MARSHALL			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
T. MAU DIO DATE AND		Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771	<del></del>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 C	Notobor 2002					
-	<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3)	·		properties as to the con-				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 18-26 is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(		p.1511) andor 00 0,0.0. 33 12	o anaver (21.				
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  I Patent Application (PTO-152)	•			
S. Patent and Trac	damark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/467,168

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Applicant's response, filed October 27, 2003 has been received. Claims 18 – 26 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 18 22, 24, and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bhattacharjee et al. (6,235,660) in view of Fujii (6,159,421) for the reasons of record.
- 4. Claim 25 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhattacharjee et al. in view of Fujii as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Morin et al. (6,189,189) for the reasons of record.
- 5. Claim 23 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhattacharjee et al. in view of Fujii as applied to claim 18 above, and further in view of Beaty et al. (5,807,870) for the reasons of record.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed October 27, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine Bhattacharjee et al. with Fujii et al. to produce the claimed invention, i.e., a method of cleaning Class 10 cleanrooms with nonwoven wipers (Response, page 2). While Bhattacharjee et al. discloses that nonwoven fabrics can be used in cleanrooms for semiconductor fabrication plants, pharmaceutical facilities, and other applications where extreme cleanliness must be maintained, Bhattacharjee et al. fails to teach what levels of cleanrooms are used in these applications. Fujii et al. is relied on to teach

Application/Control Number: 09/467,168

Art Unit: 1771

Š

that semiconductor are produced in cleanrooms having a Class 10 level (column 9, lines 27 – 30). In other words, Fujii et al. defines what cleanroom conditions are used in semiconductor fabrication. Therefore, since Fujii et al. discloses that semiconductors fabrications includes rooms having a Class 10 level and Bhattacharjee et al. teaches that nonwoven cleaning wipes can be used in cleanrooms for semiconductor fabrication plants, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use nonwoven wipes in Class 10 cleanrooms which are used to produce semiconductors. Thus, there is motivation to combine the two references since Fujii et al. discloses specific details about cleanrooms in semiconductor fabrication plants, while Bhattacharjee et al. discusses using nonwoven wipes in semiconductor fabrication without teaching what cleanliness levels are used in semiconductor fabrication. Thus, the rejections is maintained.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenna-Leigh Befumo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1472. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 - 5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

Jenna-Leigh Befumo January 9, 2004

PHILARY EXAMINER